# The 2012-13 Survey of Humanities Departments at Four-Year Institutions:

#### **Art History**

Susan White, Raymond Chu, and Roman Czujko Statistical Research Center, American Institute of Physics

An excerpt from the full technical study conducted for the American Academy of Arts & Sciences.

**Recommended Citation:** Susan White, Raymond Chu, and Roman Czujko, *The 2012–13 Survey of Humanities Departments at Four-Year Institutions* (College Park, MD: Statistical Research Center, American Institute of Physics, 2014; sponsored by the American Academy of Arts & Sciences).

#### **Art History**

In this section, we provide an overview of the HDS-1 Art History departments still awarding degrees in Art History at the time of HDS-2. Four years ago, the College Art Association began a regular data collection effort for data on graduate programs in the arts, including Art History. For complementary data to that reported here, please contact the College Art Association. Table AH1 shows the number of faculty members. There has been no statistically significant change in the number of faculty members per department.

# Table AH1: HDS-1 Departments and Faculty Members by Carnegie Classification and Highest Degree Offered, Fall 2012

(The 95% confidence interval for the **change in average per department** from 2007 data is provided in italics; the width of the interval indicates the uncertainty in the estimate. "No  $\delta$ " indicates any change exhibited is not

statistically significant.)

	Number of	Among Remaining I	HDS-1 Departments
Carnegie Classification	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Faculty Members	Total Number of Faculty Members*
Primarily	91	4.9	450
Undergraduate	See Appendix D.	Νο δ	430
Comprehensive	83 See Appendix D.	<b>6.7</b> No δ	555
Primarily Research	137	12.3	1,685
Tilliarily Nesearch	See Appendix D.	Νο δ	1,005
	Number of	Among Remaining I	HDS-1 Departments
Highest Degree Offered	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Faculty Members	Total Number of Faculty Members*
Bachelor's	199 See Appendix D.	<b>6.4</b> No δ	1,275
Master's	42 See Appendix D.	<b>7.7</b> No δ	325
Doctorate	70	15.6	1,090
Doctorate	See Appendix D.	Νο δ	

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

Table AH2 presents faculty members by tenure status. As with the total number of faculty members, there have been no statistically significant per-department changes in the distribution of faculty members across the types of appointments since the previous round of the study.

#### Table AH2: Faculty Members at HDS-1 Departments\* by Tenure Status, Fall 2012

(The 95% confidence interval for the **change in average per department** from 2007 data is provided in italics; the width of the interval indicates the uncertainty in the estimate. "No  $\delta$ " indicates any change exhibited is not statistically significant.)

indicates any change exhibited is not statistically significant.)					
	A	mong Remaining	HDS-1 Departmen	ts	
			Neither	Neither	
			Tenured nor	Tenured nor	
Carnegie			Tenure-Track,	Tenure-Track,	
Classification	Tenured	Tenure-Track	Full-Time	Part-Time	
Primarily	240	60	40	110	
Undergraduate	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	
Comprehensive	280	60	50	165	
Comprehensive	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	
Primarily	990	290	80	325	
Research	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	
	Α	mong Remaining	HDS-1 Departmen	ts	
			Neither	Neither	
			Tenured nor	Tenured nor	
<b>Highest Degree</b>			Tenure-Track,	Tenure-Track,	
Offered	Tenured	Tenure-Track	Full-Time	Part-Time	
				i ai c i iiii c	
Dachalar's	625	165	105	380	
Bachelor's	<b>625</b> Νο δ	<b>165</b> Νο δ	<b>105</b> Νο δ		
				380	
Bachelor's Master's	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	<b>380</b> No δ	
Master's	No δ 175	No δ	No δ 25	380 No δ 70	
	No δ 175 No δ	No δ 55 No δ	No δ <b>25</b> No δ	380 No δ <b>70</b> No δ	
Master's	No δ 175 No δ 720 No δ	No δ 55 No δ 190 No δ	No δ 25 No δ 40 No δ	380 No δ 70 No δ 140 No δ	
Master's Doctorate	No δ 175 No δ 720	No δ 55 No δ 190	No δ 25 No δ 40	380 No δ 70 No δ 140	

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

Table AH3 presents faculty members by employment status and gender. There has been only one statistically significant per-department change in the number of full-time and part-time faculty members or in the number of men and women among faculty members; the number of men at Primarily Undergraduate institutions is down slightly (0.1 to 0.9 per department).

# Table AH3: Faculty Members at HDS-1 Departments\* by Employment Status and Gender, Fall 2012

(The 95% confidence interval for the **change in average per department** from 2007 data is provided in italics; the width of the interval indicates the uncertainty in the estimate. "No  $\delta$ " indicates any change exhibited is not statistically significant.)

Carnegie	A	Among Remaining HDS-1 Departments						
Classification	Full-Time	Part-Time	Men	Women				
Primarily Undergraduate	330 No $\delta$	<b>120</b> No δ	170 Down 0.1 to 0.9 per department	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{280} \\ \textbf{No} \ \delta \end{array}$				
Comprehensive	320	<b>235</b>	<b>195</b>	360				
	No $\delta$	Νο δ	Νο δ	No $\delta$				
Primarily	<b>1,325</b>	<b>360</b>	<b>700</b>	985				
Research	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ				
Highest Degree Offered	Full-Time	Among Remaining Part-Time	g HDS-1 Departmen Men	ts Women				
Bachelor's	815	460	435	840				
	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ				
Master's	<b>250</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>225</b>				
	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ	Νο δ				
Doctorate	<b>920</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>530</b>	<b>560</b>				
	Νο δ	Νο δ	No δ	Νο δ				
All Remaining HDS-1 Departments	<b>1,985</b> Νο δ	<b>705</b> Νο δ	<b>1,065</b> Νο δ	<b>1,625</b> Νο δ				

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

Not every department housed in an institution classified as Primarily Research using the Carnegie classifications offers a doctorate, or even a master's. Table AH4 details the highest degree offered by HDS-1 Art History departments housed at various institutions. At one Primarily Undergraduate institution, the Art History department offers a doctorate.

Table AH4: Number of Remaining HDS-1 Departments\* by Carnegie Classification and Highest Degree Offered, Fall 2012

		Hig	All Remaining		
		Bachelor's	Master's	Doctorate	HDS-1 Departments
e ion	Primarily Undergraduate	88	2	1	91
Carnegie Classification	Comprehensive	76	5	2	83
Clas	Primarily Research	35	35	67	137
All	Remaining HDS-1 Departments	199	42	70	311

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

Table AH5 summarizes responses to the question of how many bachelor's degrees were awarded in Art History by HDS-1 departments during the 2011-12 academic year. Departments at Primarily Research institutions accounted for about two-thirds of the bachelor's degrees awarded. This is consistent with data from the previous round of the study.

## Table AH5: Bachelor's Degrees completed in Art History in HDS-1 Departments in the 2011-12 Academic Year

(The 95% confidence interval for the **change in average per department** from 2007 data is provided in italics; the width of the interval indicates the uncertainty in the estimate. "No  $\delta$ " indicates any change exhibited is not statistically significant.)

		Among Remaining HDS-1 Departments			
	Number of	Average Number of	Total Number of		
Carnegie	Remaining HDS-1	Bachelor's Degrees	Bachelor's Degrees		
Classification	Departments*	Awarded	Awarded*		
Primarily	91	9.6	870		
Undergraduate	91	Νο δ	870		
Comprehensive	83	7.6	630		
Comprehensive	03	Νο δ	030		
Primarily Research	137	23.1	3,160		
Primarily Research	157	Νο δ	3,100		
		Among Remaining HDS-1 Departments			
Highest Degree	Number of	Average Number of	Total Number of		
Highest Degree Offered	Number of Remaining HDS-1	,	•		
		Average Number of	Total Number of		
Offered	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Bachelor's Degrees	Total Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded*		
	Remaining HDS-1	Average Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded	Total Number of Bachelor's Degrees		
Offered Bachelor's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded 10.1	Total Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded* 2,000		
Offered	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded 10.1 No δ	Total Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded*		
Offered  Bachelor's  Master's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments* 199 42	Average Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded  10.1 No δ 27.9	Total Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded*  2,000  1,170		
Offered Bachelor's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded  10.1 No δ 27.9 No δ	Total Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded* 2,000		
Offered  Bachelor's  Master's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments* 199 42	Average Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded $10.1 \\ No \ \delta \\ 27.9 \\ No \ \delta \\ 21.3$	Total Number of Bachelor's Degrees Awarded*  2,000  1,170		

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

Table AH6 presents data on the number of juniors and seniors with a declared major in Art History in HDS-1 departments. Overall, there is a significant decrease in the per-department number of juniors and seniors with a declared major in Art History in these departments. This decrease is seen in both the Primarily Undergraduate and Primarily Research institutions and the departments that offer only a bachelor's degree and departments that offer a doctorate. The interval for the change in departments which offer a doctorate is quite large; this indicates more uncertainty in this estimate.

If the number of students receiving bachelor's degrees is to remain fairly constant, then one would expect the number of juniors and seniors with a declared major to be at least twice as large as the number of bachelor's degree recipients. While that was true in the first round of this study, it is not the case in Art History this round. Given the number of juniors and seniors with a declared major in Art History in HDS-1 departments, we might expect to see the number of bachelor's degrees awarded in this discipline to decline in the next few years.

# Table AH6: Number of Juniors and Seniors with Declared Major in Art History in HDS-1 Departments as of the Beginning of the Fall 2012 Term

(The 95% confidence interval for the **change in average per department** from 2007 data is provided in italics; the width of the interval indicates the uncertainty in the estimate. "No  $\delta$ " indicates any change exhibited is not statistically significant.)

		Among Remaining HDS-1 Departments		
	Number of	Average Number of	Total Number of	
Carnegie	Remaining HDS-1	Juniors & Seniors	Juniors & Seniors	
Classification	Departments*	with Declared Major	with Declared Major*	
Primarily	91	12.1	1,100	
Undergraduate	91	Down 0.1 to 6.5	1,100	
Comprehensive	83	10.0	830	
Comprehensive	00	Νο δ	630	
Primarily Research	137	42.6	5,830	
Primarily Nesearch	137	Down 5.9 to 29.2	3,630	
		Among Remaining	HDS-1 Departments	
	Number of	Average Number of	Total Number of	
Highest Degree	Remaining HDS-1	Average Number of Juniors & Seniors	Total Number of Juniors & Seniors	
Highest Degree Offered		_		
Offered	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Juniors & Seniors	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major*	
	Remaining HDS-1	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major	Juniors & Seniors	
Offered Bachelor's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major 17.4	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major* 3,470	
Offered	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major 17.4 Down 1.0 to 10.4	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major*	
Offered  Bachelor's  Master's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments* 199 42	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major 17.4 Down 1.0 to 10.4 40.5	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major*  3,470  1,700	
Offered Bachelor's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major 17.4 Down 1.0 to 10.4 40.5 No δ	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major* 3,470	
Offered  Bachelor's  Master's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments* 199 42	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major  17.4 Down 1.0 to 10.4  40.5 No $\delta$ 37.0	Juniors & Seniors with Declared Major*  3,470  1,700	

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

There were no statistically significant changes in the average number of students in each department completing a minor in Art History in HDS-1 departments. These data are detailed in Table AH7. During the 2011 – 2012 academic year, HDS-1 Art History departments awarded, on average, about 25 bachelor's degrees per department and had about 11 students per department earn a minor in the field.

# Table AH7: Number of Students Completing a Minor in Art History in HDS-1 Departments during the 2011-12 Academic Year

(The 95% confidence interval for the **change in average per department** from 2007 data is provided in italics; the width of the interval indicates the uncertainty in the estimate. "No  $\delta$ " indicates any change exhibited is not statistically significant.)

		Among Remaining HDS-1 Departments				
		Average Number of	Total Number of			
	Number of	Students	Students			
Carnegie	Remaining HDS-1	Completing a	Completing a			
Classification	Departments*	Minor	Minor*			
Primarily	91	6.6	600			
Undergraduate		Νο δ	000			
Comprehensive	83	7.7	640			
Comprehensive	65	Νο δ	040			
Primarily Research	137	15.8	2,170			
Fillially Nesearch	137	Νο δ	2,170			
		Among Remaining I	HDS-1 Departments			
		Augus as Nives bay of	Takal Niversland of			
		Average Number of	Total Number of			
	Number of	Students	Students			
Highest Degree	Number of Remaining HDS-1	_	Students Completing a			
Highest Degree Offered		Students	Students			
Offered	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Students Completing a	Students Completing a Minor*			
	Remaining HDS-1	Students Completing a Minor	Students Completing a			
Offered Bachelor's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Students Completing a Minor 9.2	Students Completing a Minor*  1,830			
Offered	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Students Completing a Minor  9.2 No $\delta$	Students Completing a Minor*			
Offered  Bachelor's  Master's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments* 199 42	Students Completing a Minor  9.2 No δ 11.1	Students Completing a Minor*  1,830  465			
Offered Bachelor's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Students Completing a Minor  9.2 No δ 11.1 No δ	Students Completing a Minor*  1,830			
Offered  Bachelor's  Master's	Remaining HDS-1 Departments* 199 42	Students Completing a Minor  9.2 No $\delta$ 11.1 No $\delta$ 15.9	Students Completing a Minor*  1,830  465			

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

As shown in Table AH8, there were just over 4,000 graduate students enrolled in programs in HDS-1 Art History departments during the Fall 2012 term. Most of these students were in departments that awarded a doctorate. There were eighty students enrolled in graduate programs in departments that offer only a bachelor's degree. It is likely that these students are in departments that had a graduate program at one time, and the department no longer awards graduate degrees. These departments have been allowed to retain currently enrolled graduate students.

## Table AH8: Number of Graduate Students in Art History in HDS-1 Departments during Fall 2012 Term

(The 95% confidence interval for the **change in average per department** from 2007 data is provided in italics; the width of the interval indicates the uncertainty in the estimate. "No  $\delta$ " indicates any change exhibited is not statistically significant.)

	, 9	Among Remaining HDS-1 Departments			
Carnegie Classification	Number of Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Graduate Students (per department that offers graduate degree)	Total Number of Graduate Students*		
Primarily Undergraduate	91	<b>30.0</b> No δ	90		
Comprehensive	83	<b>30.0</b> No δ	210		
Primarily Research	137	36.6 No $\delta$	3,730		
	Number of	Amana Damainina	UDC 4 Damanton and		
	Number of	Among Kemaining	HDS-1 Departments		
Highest Degree	Remaining HDS-1	Among Remaining Average Number of	Total Number of		
Highest Degree Offered		,	•		
	Remaining HDS-1	Average Number of	Total Number of		
Offered	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Graduate Students  0.4	Total Number of Graduate Students*		
Offered Bachelor's*	Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Average Number of Graduate Students  0.4  No δ  16.0	Total Number of Graduate Students*		

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

Overall, about three-fourths of the students enrolled in undergraduate introductory Art History courses in HDS-1 departments are taught by a full-time faculty member, and 3% are taught by graduate students. These data are presented in Table AH9. The differences indicated by the asterisk (\*) in the table means that the proportion of students taught by that rank faculty member in that type of department differs significantly from the other comparable types of department (either by Carnegie Classification, by highest degree offered, or by form of control). A student in a department housed in a Primarily Undergraduate institution (by Carnegie Classification) is more likely to be taught by a full-time tenured or tenure-track faculty member than students in departments housed in Comprehensive or Primarily Research institutions. The same is also true for a student in a department housed in a private institution.

It must again be noted that statistical significance depends on a number of factors, not solely the absolute difference between two values. While differences that are not marked as significant may seem to be the same size as, or even larger than, those marked as significant, they are not statistically

<sup>\*</sup>This is per department since none of these departments currently offers a graduate degree. These students are likely students who started when the department did offer a graduate degree, but the department has since lost degree-granting status.

significant. The most likely factors attributing to the lack of significance when the absolute difference seems "large enough" are a smaller sample size or a larger variation within that discipline.

Table AH9: Instructor of Record for Undergraduate Introductory Courses in Art History in HDS-1 Departments, Fall 2012 Term

	% of students taught by				
	Full-Time Tenured or Tenure-Track Faculty Members	Full-Time Non- Tenure-Track Faculty Members	Part-Time Faculty Members	Graduate Students in the Department	
	By Carneg	ie Classification			
Primarily Undergraduate	70%*	12%	18%	0%*	
Comprehensive	60%	10%*	30%*	0%*	
Primarily Research	58%	14%	16%	12%	
	By Highest	Degree Offered			
Bachelor's	64%	12%*	24%*	0%*	
Master's	56%	16%*	22%*	6%*	
Doctorate	62%	8%	10%	19%	
	By Forr	n of Control			
Public	60%	13%	19%	8%	
Private	65%*	12%	23%*	0%*	
All Institutions	64%*	12%*	21%	3%	

<sup>\*</sup> indicates that the proportion is significantly different from primarily research (for Carnegie Classification) or from Doctorate (for Highest Degree Offered) or from Public (for Form of Control) at the 5% level.

Table AH10 presents results for the instructor of record for all other (non-introductory) classes in Art History in HDS-1 departments. Students in departments housed in Primarily Undergraduate institutions (Carnegie classification) are more likely to be taught by full-time faculty members than students in departments housed in Comprehensive or Primarily Research institutions. There is little difference by form of control.

<sup>\*</sup> indicates that the proportion is significantly different from all other disciplines combined at the 5% level We used regression analysis for these tests with a binary (0-1) variable for the level of interest. If the coefficient for the binary variable differed significantly from 0, then the interpretation from regression is that the discipline differs from all other levels combined.

Statistical significance depends on a number of factors, not solely the absolute difference between two values. While differences that are not marked as significant may seem to be the same size as, or even larger than, those marked as significant, they are not statistically significant. The most likely factors attributing to the lack of significance when the absolute difference seems "large enough" are a smaller sample size or a larger variation within that discipline.

Finally, Table AH11 summarizes the results for the instructor of record in graduate courses. There is very little difference for graduate courses. At private institutions, students are less likely to be taught by full-time faculty members and more likely to be taught by part-time faculty members.

Table AH10: Instructor of Record for All Other Undergraduate (Non-Introductory) Courses in Art History in HDS-1 Departments, Fall 2012 Term

ittroductory) courses in	% of students taught by				
	Full-Time Tenured or Tenure-Track Faculty Members	Full-Time Non- Tenure-Track Faculty Members	Part-Time Faculty Members	Graduate Students in the Department	
	By Carneg	ie Classification			
Primarily Undergraduate	80%*	9%	11%*	0%*	
Comprehensive	73%	9%	18%*	0%*	
Primarily Research	70%	11%	14%	5%	
	By Highest	Degree Offered			
Bachelor's	76%	9%	14%*	0%*	
Master's	72%	11%	17%*	0%*	
Doctorate	73%	8%	11%	8%	
	By Forr	m of Control			
Public	74%	10%	13%	3%	
Private	76%	9%	15%	0%*	
All Institutions	75%	10%	14%	1%	

<sup>\*</sup> indicates that the proportion is significantly different from primarily research (for Carnegie Classification) or from Doctorate (for Highest Degree Offered) or from Public (for Form of Control) at the 5% level.

We used regression analysis for these tests with a binary (0-1) variable for the level of interest. If the coefficient for the binary variable differed significantly from 0, then the interpretation from regression is that the discipline differs from all other levels combined.

Statistical significance depends on a number of factors, not solely the absolute difference between two values. While differences that are not marked as significant may seem to be the same size as, or even larger than, those marked as significant, they are not statistically significant. The most likely factors attributing to the lack of significance when the absolute difference seems "large enough" are a smaller sample size or a larger variation within that discipline.

Table AH11: Instructor of Record for All Graduate Courses in Art History in

**HDS-1 Departments, Fall 2012 Term** 

Departments, ran	% of students taught by				
	Full-Time Tenured or Tenure-Track Faculty Members	Full-Time Non- Tenure-Track Faculty Members	Part-Time Faculty Members	Graduate Students in the Department	
	By Carneg	ie Classification			
Primarily Undergraduate	84%	9%	7%	0%	
Comprehensive	88%	6%	6%	0%	
Primarily Research	88%	6%	6%	0%	
	By Highest	Degree Offered			
Bachelor's	82%	13%*	5%	0%	
Master's	84%	11%*	6%	0%	
Doctorate	87%	6%	6%	0%	
	By For	n of Control			
Public	87%	8%	5%	0%	
Private	80%*	12%	8%*	0%	
All Institutions	85%	9%	6%	0%	

<sup>\*</sup> indicates that the proportion is significantly different from primarily research (for Carnegie Classification) or from Doctorate (for Highest Degree Offered) or from Public (for Form of Control) at the 5% level.

Table AH12 presents the results for the assessment of undergraduate student learning in HDS-1 Art History departments. Learning outcomes assessment is an aggregate assessment which attempts to measure the effectiveness of a program or institution by examining the competence of a given cohort of students. We did not ask about the assessment of individual students; we asked respondents to tell us whether or not they assessed undergraduate student learning.

We used regression analysis for these tests with a binary (0-1) variable for the level of interest. If the coefficient for the binary variable differed significantly from 0, then the interpretation from regression is that the discipline differs from all other levels combined.

Statistical significance depends on a number of factors, not solely the absolute difference between two values. While differences that are not marked as significant may seem to be the same size as, or even larger than, those marked as significant, they are not statistically significant. The most likely factors attributing to the lack of significance when the absolute difference seems "large enough" are a smaller sample size or a larger variation within that discipline.

Table AH12: Assessment of Overall Undergraduate Student Learning in Art History in HDS-1 Departments as of the Fall 2012 Term

			For	m of		
		Carne	Carnegie Classification			ntrol
	All	Primarily		Primarily		
	Institutions	Undergraduate	Comprehensive	Research	Public	Private
No						
Departmental	23%	10%	20%	36%	27%	22%
Assessment						
Departmental						
Assessment	71%	86%	73%	57%	66%	73%
for All Majors						
Departmental						
Assessment						
for Majors in	3%	2%	2%	4%	5%	2%
Honors						
Program Only						
Departmental						
Assessment						
for Some	11%	8%	14%	11%	10%	11%
Other Group						
of Students						

Note: The sum of the four rows in any column may exceed 100% because respondents could select multiple choices.

The "assessment" referenced is an aggregate assessment based on examining the results from a given cohort of students in an attempt to examine the effectiveness of a program.

For Art History, 81% of the HDS-1 departments view publications as either essential or very important in tenure decisions; 73% of all of the departments view publications this way. The importance of teaching is about the same in HDS-1 Art History departments as it is in all other disciplines combined, and service is deemed slightly less important. The views of HDS-1 Art History departments on the importance of public humanities are also similar to that for all disciplines combined. Details for HDS-1 Art History departments are shown in Table AH13.

Table AH13: Considerations in Tenure Decisions in Art History in HDS-1 Departments, Fall 2012

Departments, Fan 2012						
			Very		Marginally	
	CC*	Essential	Important	Important	Important	Unimportant
Publications (research, scholarship, and creative work)	All	65%	16%	14%	5%	<1%
	PUG	47%	27%	17%	7%	2%
	Comp	47%	14%	29%	10%	0%
	PRes	88%	10%	2%	0%	0%
Teaching	All	78%	19%	2%	0%	<1%
	PUG	92%	5%	2%	0%	1%
	Comp	84%	14%	2%	0%	0%
	PRes	66%	32%	2%	0%	0%
Service to the department or institution	All	33%	37%	26%	5%	0%
	PUG	39%	36%	20%	5%	0%
	Comp	33%	35%	30%	2%	0%
	PRes	28%	40%	26%	6%	0%
Public humanities (making the humanities and/or humanities scholarship accessible to the general public)	All	2%	13%	17%	49%	19%
	PUG	3%	13%	22%	44%	18%
	Comp	4%	6%	23%	47%	20%
	PRes	0%	18%	10%	54%	18%

<sup>\*</sup>CC – Carnegie Classification and PUG – Primarily Undergraduate, Comp – Comprehensive, & PRes – Primarily Research

#### **Table AH14: Faculty Tenure Decisions and New Hires in HDS-1 Departments**

(The 95% confidence interval for the **change in average per department** from 2007 data is provided in italics; the width of the interval indicates the uncertainty in the estimate. "No  $\delta$ " indicates any change exhibited is not statistically significant.)

	Number in Remaining HDS-1 Departments*	Relative to
Tenured Faculty Members as of Fall 2012 (Fall 2007)	1,485	56% of total faculty members No $\delta$
Tenure-Track Faculty Members (not yet tenured) as of Fall 2012 (Fall 2007)	395	15% of total faculty members $\label{eq:controller} \text{No } \delta$
Tenure-Track Faculty Members Granted Tenure per Year (Two-Year Average) 2010-11 & 2011- 12 (2005-06 & 2006-07)	30 per year	8% of tenure-track, not yet tenured faculty members $\label{eq:contraction} \text{No } \delta$
Faculty Members Denied Tenure or Leaving Prior to Tenure Decision per Year (Two-Year Average) 2010- 11 & 2011-12 (2005-06 & 2006-07)	11 per year	3% of tenure-track, not yet tenured faculty members No $\delta$
Tenured, Tenure-Track and Permanent Faculty Members Hired for 2012-13 (2007-08)	120	6% of full-time faculty members No $\delta$

<sup>\*</sup> These should not be compared directly with 2007 data since these data do not include any departments that have been created in the interim. These data can be interpreted as estimates of minima for all 2012-13 departments combined.

As seen in Table AH14, there are no significant changes in the faculty tenure decisions and new hires in HDS-1 Art History departments.

Almost all HDS-1 Art History departments (or the institutions in which they are housed) provide support for research for full-time tenured or tenure-track faculty members; this is comparable to all disciplines combined. However, it appears that full-time non-tenured or non-tenure-track faculty members these departments are less likely to receive research support than in other disciplines. About one part-time faculty member in four receives this support; this is about the same as in all disciplines combined. The data are presented in Table AH15.

Table AH15: Availability of Institutional or Departmental Support for Research in HDS-1 Departments. Fall 2012

	% of Institutions or
	<b>Departments Providing Support</b>
For Full-time tenure or tenure-track faculty members	94%
For full-time non-tenured or non-tenure-track faculty members	59%
For part-time faculty members	24%

When looking at all disciplines, about one department in three (33%) offers a fully online course, and about one in five (19%) offers a hybrid course. HDS-1 Art History departments appear to be less likely to offer either type of course. At the departments where these courses are offered, it appears that there are fewer fully online or hybrid courses offered than for all the disciplines combined. The details are shown in Table AH16.

Table AH16: HDS-1 Art History Departments Offering Online Courses by Carnegie Classification and Form of Control, 2011-12 Academic Year

	Departments Offering Fully Online Courses	Average Number of Fully Online Courses Offered	Departments Offering Hybrid Courses	Average Number of Hybrid Courses Offered
By Carnegie Classification				
Primarily Undergraduate	10%	1.8	4%	1.5
Comprehensive	22%	3.0	8%	1.7
Primarily Research	23%	1.7	18%	1.6
By Form of Control				
Public	35%	2.1	17%	1.5
Private	9%	2.2	8%	1.7
All Institutions	19%	2.1	11%	1.6

Even though they appear to be less likely to offer online courses, HDS-1 Art History departments overall are comparable to all disciplines combined when asked about their engagement with digital humanities. These results are summarized in Table AH17.

Table AH17: Engagement with Digital Humanities by Carnegie Classification and Form of Control in HDS-1 Departments as of Fall 2012

	Offered Seminar Focusing on Digital Methods for Research	Have Formal Guidelines for Evaluating Digital Publications		
	and Teaching	for Tenure and Promotion		
By Carnegie Classification				
Primarily Undergraduate	6%	10%		
Comprehensive	14%	14%		
Primarily Research	26%	18%		
By Form of Control				
Public	19%	16%		
Private	15%	14%		
All Institutions	17%	14%		