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Abstract: The University of Florida Levin College of Law Center on Children and Families addresses the instability many children face due to a wide range of challenges. They include poverty, violence, and the criminalization of youth of color. They also include inadequate health care, substandard educational opportunity, and the general failure of systems designed to support, protect, and treat children who are classified as dependent, delinquent, or otherwise in need. The Center’s model rests on five premises that Professor Barbara Woodhouse and colleagues identified in their scholarship as essential for addressing crises rather than mitigating symptoms: curriculum, scholarship, conferences, advocacy, and clinics. Over the years, the Center has held numerous conferences to advance groundbreaking, practical research on family law and children's rights and has held youth summits in connection with those conferences to engage with youth on relevant legal issues. These efforts remain at the conceptual heart/core of the Center’s work.

The Center on Children and Families at the University of Florida Levin College of Law, like other university-based interdisciplinary centers for children in the United States, is designed to address the instability many children face due to a variety of challenges. They include poverty,1 violence,2 and the criminalization of youth of color. They also include inadequate health care,3 lack of educational opportunity,4 and the general failure of systems designed to support, protect, and treat children who are classified as delinquent, dependent, or otherwise in need.5

Too often, children receive no help until they are in crisis, until they figuratively – and, at times, literally – have been admitted to the emergency room. Social service agencies, health care systems, the juvenile justice system, and even school districts are geared toward repairing damage rather than preventing it in the first place.6

Before the movement toward interdisciplinary services for children and families, law school clin-
ics were also in the damage-repair business. They largely functioned as law offices that represented children and families in courts and other tribunals functioning in their own silos, removed from social workers, doctors, and other professionals who could help address clients’ problems.

In 2001, Professor Barbara Woodhouse joined the University of Florida Levin College of Law to teach family law and address problems of children and families. She modeled what became the Center on Children and Families on a similar center that she had established two years earlier at the University of Pennsylvania, the first U.S. interdisciplinary center for children based at a university. But the Florida Center was distinguished from its predecessor by five premises on which the Center’s model rests. Woodhouse and her colleagues identified them as essential for addressing family crises, rather than merely mitigating symptoms. The Center’s work reflects them in each of its components: curriculum, scholarship, conferences, advocacy, and clinics. The premises are that:

1. **Its work is vertically integrated.** Research and policy must be tested in the provision of direct service to children and families, to ensure that solutions work in the real world as well as in theory.

2. **The work is interdisciplinary.** No one area of study has all the tools to address the problems that children face. Social work, medicine, law, political science, education, and sociology each have crucial insights to contribute.

3. **It is team oriented.** Healthy dialogue among stakeholders, including children and families, gives voice to diverse perspectives and approaches and raises the chances that a solution will meet the needs of the clients.

4. **It is child centered.** Children are at the core of the analysis: if a solution does not meet their needs, the Center is not fulfilling its mission.

5. **It is informed by research on child development.** The Center pays close attention to the latest child development research because the field is quickly evolving and solutions to problems of clients should reflect the best current thinking.

Some of the Center’s most impactful work is done through its clinics. This work, as illustrated by the case study below, embodies the Center’s theoretical foundation. In particular, this case study exemplifies interdisciplinary, team-oriented representation that is informed by leading scholarship on domestic violence.

Jane Doe, a twenty-eight-year-old woman, is admitted to the hospital with stage four renal failure. She was previously on the transplant list, but removed for continuing health issues. Dialysis is prolonging her life. During this admission, a hospital social worker recently trained by the Center’s Intimate Partner Violence Assistance Clinic to screen all patients for domestic violence discovers that Jane’s boyfriend of the past four years has been physically, emotionally, and psychologically abusing her. It was his abuse that led to her repeated illnesses and missed appointments, which caused her to be removed from the kidney transplant list. It was his abuse that drove Jane’s family away from her. She is totally dependent on him for her medical and emotional support. No health provider had ever asked Jane about intimate partner violence.

Having earned Jane’s trust, the clinic social worker, together with a legal intern, visits Jane in the hospital to provide information and assistance. To Jane’s surprise, the social worker follows up with her at a...
dialysis appointment. Jane feels connected and supported and calls the clinic to follow through with an injunction for protection against domestic violence by her partner. She now lives safely and goes to dialysis three times a week. Her health is improving.

In addition to the clinical offerings affiliated with the Center, law students benefit from one of the first U.S. programs offering a certificate in family or children’s law. In connection with this concentration, a range of unpaid family- and child-related externships in government, judicial, and public interest settings provide practical legal experience to our students.

Law students also conduct field research on state and local issues, such as the practice of shackling children in juvenile courts. This research on shackling contributed to a report on the practice by the Florida Senate, which was followed by a Florida Supreme Court ruling limiting the shackling of juveniles. Over all, the research has contributed to amicus briefs in numerous state and federal court cases. It has strengthened the Center’s scholarly and practical expertise and informed state-level legislation on counsel for children in dependency cases.

Over the years, the Center has held numerous interdisciplinary conferences to advance groundbreaking, practical research on family law and children’s rights, and has held youth summits in connection with those conferences to engage with youth on relevant legal issues. These efforts remain at the conceptual core of the Center’s work.
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2 In 2016, there were twenty-two reports of children aged ten to fourteen committing suicide, and ninety-six reports of suicide among children aged fifteen to nineteen. See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control,
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5 In 2016, there were 225,173 total referrals in Florida for abuse and neglect; of those, 166,465 were referred for investigation. See U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children’s Bureau, Child Maltreatment 2016 (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2018), Table 2–1, “Screened-In and Screened-Out Referrals,” https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/cb/cm2016.pdf. In June 2018, there were 24,118 children in

6 Take zero-tolerance policies, for example: the philosophy, policy, and practice of responding to school infractions with automatic, exclusionary punishments regardless of context. These policies have been roundly criticized for the lack of evidence for improving school, community, or student-related climate, safety, and academic outcomes; for spurring schools’ reliance on the juvenile justice system; and for lack of consideration for the context of adolescent development. Innovative school leaders reject this approach and instead implement strategies that take into consideration the developmental need for autonomy, and in which children play an active role in crafting and adjudicating school rules not for the purpose of demanding obedience, but for building community norms that preserve learning time and support positive, healthy relationships among students and between students and staff.

7 Informed by important research in this area, the clinic’s practice is to ask clients about domestic violence proactively. Studies show that 92 percent of women who are physically abused by their partners do not voluntarily discuss these incidents with their physicians, and 70–81 percent of patients report that they would like their providers to ask them privately about domestic violence. Rose S. Fife and Sarina Schrager, Family Violence: What Health Care Providers Need to Know (Burlington, Mass.: Jones and Bartlett Learning, 2011), 210.


9 For example, we have drawn from our child development research in amicus briefs in Adoptive Couple v. Baby Girl, 570 U.S. ___ (2013) [Indian Child Welfare Act section barring involuntary termination of parent’s rights in absence of a heightened showing that serious harm to Indian child is likely to result from parent’s continued custody of child does not apply when Indian parent never had custody]; and in D.M.T. v. T.M.H, No. SC12–261 (Fla. 2013) [ground-breaking assisted reproduction and child custody case] to help define a child’s best interests.